

The premeditated train wreck that was announced last April is occurring. There is not anything unknown about this. "We are going to do it. The President is going to do it our way or no way."

I have been around here a little while and I have heard that before. I believe the best interests of this country are to give us a clean debt ceiling, give us a clean continuing resolution, and then we can work out the legislative problems after that.

I think we would find that things would move a lot faster than trying to tear up the country and to tear up the financial stability of this great Nation of ours.

I hope we can get a clean debt ceiling, a clean continuing resolution, and that the majority would do their work and give us the appropriations bills so the President would have an opportunity to sign those, and we can continue with the things all of us want to, and that is work towards a balanced budget.

I yield the floor.

#### A BUDGET PROMISE

Mr. GORTON. Mr. President, in the middle of last week, at the suggestion of one of my colleagues from Washington State in the House of Representatives, most of the Washington congressional delegation and several Members from other States in the country began a campaign to allow people in the United States to speak out in a tangible and dramatic fashion their desire that we stop coming up with excuses and pass a budget which could promise a balance to the American people.

We wanted individual citizens throughout the country to be able to say we have loaded enough in the way of debt on the backs of our children and grandchildren and that it was time to stop, time to chart a new course of action. The way in which we proposed to do this was to suggest to each and every individual in the country that he or she, if she wished the President to sign a balanced budget bill, should send the President a pen, a pen like the one I hold here in my hand, or, for that matter, a No. 2 pencil, or, in the case of the very children who will be saddled with the debts that we have run up in the past and that this President insists that we continue to run up, even a crayon. We suggested any writing instrument, in other words, Mr. President, except for a red pen, on the ground that there was a sufficient amount of red ink in Washington, DC, already.

This announcement took place on Wednesday of last week. On Friday afternoon I was present at radio station KVI in Seattle, a talk radio station, which had not much more than 24 hours earlier taken up this call and had suggested sending those pens either directly to the radio station or to some two dozen drop-off points throughout western Washington.

By the time I reached the KVI studios, there were already huge piles of envelopes containing pens—some without notes, almost all with return addresses, some with short notes to the President—stacked on the table surrounding the microphones in the studios. They numbered in the thousands, produced simply by that single radio station.

Others in the State of Washington have taken up the cause. This morning the National Taxpayers Union held a news conference attended by myself and by the junior Senator from Georgia and my colleague in the House of Representatives, together with one of these radio talk show hosts, to ask that this cause be taken up by other radio stations across the United States. If those stations have anything like the success that we had, there will literally be hundreds of thousands, perhaps up to five digits, of pens delivered to the White House, each and every one of which asks the President to sign a bill. No more excuses, no more deferrals, no more putting off to next year what we should do this year, but a set of laws, a set of changes and directions that will clearly promise us a balanced budget no later than shortly after the turn of the new century.

It is ironic, I believe, that we should have to insist that the President of the United States do this because when he was a candidate for President, Mr. Clinton promised to balance the budget in 5 years. He abandoned that promise on being elected. And by the beginning of this year, 2 years after being sworn in, he submitted a budget that would never be balanced, in fact, a budget that would never have deficits of less than \$150 billion a year.

Later, he said perhaps he could do the job in 10 years, then 9, then briefly 7, now back to 10, but that he could only do it if he were allowed to set the assumptions, to play with the statistics, so that balancing the budget would become an easy task without any significant changes in spending policies in the United States, a tactic which has been used briefly by Presidents, both Democrat and Republican, with unsurprising results—increasing rather than decreasing budget deficits.

In addition, the proposal which we have been debating today, the reconciliation bill which will come before this body before the end of the week and be sent to the President before the end of the week, does much more to keep the President's original promises than simply to balance the budget, as important and difficult as that task is. It also keeps the President's promises, since abandoned, to provide a tax cut for middle-income Americans, and it will also keep the President's promise, to which he continues to give lip service and little more, to end welfare as we have known it.

It is over a bill that will carry out these promises of the President of the United States that all of the current furor takes place.

Rather than to promise to sign that bill, the President has committed himself to vetoing it. As of the moment at which I speak, he has vetoed one of the two much more modest interim measures that would allow him both time to veto that bill and to discuss with Members of Congress what alternative approach to the same goal he would adopt without causing the Government of the United States to come to a halt.

I am not sure precisely what the consequences of this course of action will be. Two bills, one of which has already been vetoed by the President and one of which is likely to be passed here later today and vetoed before the evening is up, will cause a certain degree of disruption. A veto of the reconciliation bill, a repudiation of the President's three promises, will, I suspect, cause somewhat more in the way of disruption because it will be the last of a series of actions on the part of the President that belie his promises and commitments as a candidate in the early days of his Presidency.

So far, the President has been unwilling, in any rational and thoughtful fashion, to discuss these goals. So far, he simply says he will not even begin to discuss them until preconditions are met which guarantee that he will never have to discuss them seriously. I suspect, however, that as has been the case so frequently in the past, once the shoe begins to pinch, the President will be willing to discuss this serious question, and I believe he will find Members on this side of the aisle willing to discuss everything with him except for the underlying premise that we must come up with a realistic method of balancing the budget. Once that principle has been reached, we can reach an agreement and the President can use one of those hundreds of thousands of pens to sign a balanced budget.

Mr. President, I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The assistant legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. DORGAN. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. DORGAN. Mr. President, we are in morning business, is that correct?

The PRESIDING OFFICER. That is correct, with time limits of 10 minutes.

#### A SHUTDOWN OF THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT

Mr. DORGAN. Mr. President, let me comment on some of the discussion that has taken place on the floor of the Senate today. First of all, I think if there is a shutdown of the Federal Government, there will be no credit in any corner of this town, only blame and, in my judgment, justifiable blame. We ought not be at this position. We should not get to the point of a shutdown of Government services. We